

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 23313-1450 www.uspio.gov

PAPER

04/21/2009

| | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 09/806,274 | 03/27/2001 | Wayne Edward Beimesch | 390780 | 6754 |
| Peter C Knops Lathrop & Gage | | | EXAMINER ROGERS, DAVID A | |
| 2345 Grand Boulevard Suite 2800 Kansas City, MO 64108 | | | ART UNIT P. 2856 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Notice of Abandonment | 09/806,274 | BEIMESCH, WAYNE EDWARD | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | DAVID A. ROGERS | 2856 | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | LXammer | Aironic | | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | DAVID A. ROGERS | 2856 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of but it does but it does but it does Aproper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection. | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on not constitute a proper reply under 3 n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to to nendment which pla | the final rejection. | | | | |
| application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| | or (5) a timely filed i | request for | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ No reply has been received. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | ired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the No | tice of | | | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated |), which is | | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire i | nterest, or all of | | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity ur | nder 37 CFR | | | | |
| 6. 🖾 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer court review of the decision has expired and there are no | | and because the per | riod for seeking | | | | |
| 7. X The reason(s) below: | | | | | | | |
| THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY-OF-RECORD WAS CONTACTED ON 01 APRIL 2009 TO CONFIRM THAT NO FURTHER RESPONSE(S) WERE FILED. THE CALL HAS NOT YET BEEN RETURNED. | | | | | | | |
| | /D : I A D / | | | | | | |

/David A. Rogers/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2856

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filled to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

US. Breater eff dereads Office.